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## What is a fractal?

B. Mandelbrot:

A rough or fragmented geometric shape that can be subdivided in parts, each of which is (at least approximately) a reduced/size copy of the whole.

Mathematical: A set of points whose fractal dimension in noninteger.
Traditionally, a line is thought of as 1-dimensional object; a plane as a 2dimensional object and a prism as a 3 -dimensional object. Dimensions are seen as having integer values. The term 'fractal' suggests the ideea that some objects have a 'fractional' dimension. In this article we will take an excursion in so called "fractal geometry". Mandelbrot's fractal geometry provides a mathematical model for many complex forms found in nature such as shapes of coast lines, mountains, galaxy clusters, and clouds.

## 1 Basic notions

Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $d$ a metric on $X$. The classical example is the Euclidian space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the Euclidian metric $d(x, y)=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ and let $x_{0} \in X$. Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=f\left(x_{0}\right) \\
x_{2}=f\left(x_{1}\right)=f \circ f\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
x_{n}=f\left(x_{n-1}\right)=\underbrace{f \circ f \circ \ldots \circ f}_{n \text { times. }}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

Let $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, d_{Y}\right)$ be metric spaces. A map $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be Lipschitz on $X$ if

$$
d_{Y}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq r d_{X}(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $r$ is a positive number called the Lipschitz constant of $\varphi$.

The iterated function system (IFS) consists of a family of contractions $\mathbf{S}:=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right\}$ on $X$. If there exists a set $K$ such that

$$
K=\cup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(K)
$$

it is called the invariant set of the IFS.
Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. If $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ is Lipschitz on $X$ and the Lipschitz constant is less then 1 , then $f$ is called a contraction with respect to the metric $d$ with contractivity ratio $r$. In particular, a contraction $\varphi$ with contraction ratio $r$ is called a similitude if $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))=r d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

It is known from the calssical analysis the Banach's contraction principle
Theorem 1.1 Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction with respect to the metric $d$. Then there exists a unique fixed point of $\varphi$, in other words, there exists a unique solution to the equation $\varphi(x)=x$. Moreover, if $x_{*}$ is the fixed point of $\varphi$, then $\left\{\varphi^{n}(a)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges to $x_{*}$ for all $a \in X$ where $\varphi^{n}$ is the $n$-th iteration of $\varphi$.

If $A$ is a subset of $X$ and $r>0$, then the $r$ neighbourhood of $A$ is

$$
A_{r}:=\{y: d(x, y)<r \text { for some } x \in A\}
$$

Let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ the class of nonempty compact subsets of $X$.
The Hausdorff metric on $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is defined as

$$
h(A, B):=\inf \left\{r: A \subseteq B_{r} \text { and } B \subseteq A_{r}\right\}
$$

On can show that the Hausdorff metric is a metric on $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and if $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space then $(\mathcal{C}(X), h)$ is also complete.

Now we can prove, following [4], the existence and uniquness of fractals:

Theorem 1.2 Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $\varphi_{i}: X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\mathbf{S}: \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ by

$$
\mathbf{S}(A):=\cup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(A)
$$

Then $\mathbf{S}$ has a unique fixed point $K$. Moreover, for any $A \in \mathcal{C}(X), \mathbf{S}^{n}(A)$ converges to $K$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric, where $\mathbf{S}^{n}$ is the $n$-th iterate of $\mathbf{S}$ and

$$
h\left(\mathbf{S}^{n}(A), K\right) \leq \frac{r^{n}}{1-r} h(A, \mathbf{S}(A)) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, if $A \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ is such that $\varphi_{i}(A) \subset A$ for all $i$, then

$$
K=\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{S}^{i}(A)
$$

Proof: If $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\mathbf{S}(A), \mathbf{S}(B)) & =h\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(A), \cup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(B)\right) \leq \\
& \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq m} h\left(\varphi_{i}(A), \varphi_{i}(B)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

using the definition of metric $h$ and noting that if the $\epsilon$-neighbourhood $\left(\varphi_{i}(A)\right)_{\epsilon}$ contains $\varphi_{i}(B)$ for all $i$ then $\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(A)\right)_{\epsilon}$ contains $\cup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(B)$ and vice versa. By the definition of contraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\mathbf{S}(A), \mathbf{S}(B)) \leq\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} r_{i}\right) h(A, B) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} r_{i}<1$, the mapping $\mathbf{S}$ is a contraction on the complete metric space $(\mathcal{C}(X), h)$. By the Banach's contraction principle $\mathbf{S}$ has a unique fixed point and moreover $\mathbf{S}^{n}(A) \rightarrow K$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By iterating (1.1) it follows that

$$
h\left(\mathbf{S}^{n}(A), K\right) \leq\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} r_{i}\right)^{n} h(A, K)
$$

Thus $\mathbf{S}^{n}(A)$ converges to $K$ at a geometric rate. In particular, if $\varphi_{i}(A) \subset A$ for all $i$, then $\mathbf{S}(A) \subset A$, so that $\mathbf{S}^{n}(A)$ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty compact sets containing $K$ with intersection $\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{S}^{i}(A)$ which must equal $K$.

This unique fixed point $K \subset X$ is the invariant set of the IFS. Usually it is a fractal.

## 2 Selfsimilar fractal sets

If the contractions are similarities, the attractor $K$ is called selfsimilar, if they are affine transformations, then $K$ is called selfaffine. These sets are frequently fractals.

Example 1 The middle-third Cantor set:


Figure 1: The triadic Cantor dust

Example 2 The Sierpinski gasket
Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ the vertices of an equilateral tiangle.
$\varphi_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\varphi_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x-q_{i}\right)+q_{i}, i=1,2,3 .
$$



Figure 2: The Sierpinski gasket

## Example 3 The Menger sponge

Begin with a cub of side 1. Subdivide it into 27 smaller cubes by trisecting the edges. We will remove the center cub and the 6 cubes in the center of the
faces. That means 20 cubes remain. Continue in the same way with the small cubes.


Figure 3: The Menger sponge

Computationally, it is very easy to reconstruct the invariant set $K$ of a given IFS. Let $I_{k}$ the set of all k-term sequences $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ with $i_{j} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Plotting $\mathbf{S}^{k}(A)=\cup_{I_{k}} \varphi_{i_{1}}(A) \circ \varphi_{i_{2}}(A) \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{i_{k}}(A)$ for a suitable $k$ gives an approximation to $K$. (See Figure 4.)


Figure 4: The Sierpinski gasket
An alternative way of reconstructing $K$ is to take any initial point $x_{0}$, and select a sequence $\varphi_{i_{1}}, \varphi_{i_{2}}, \ldots$ independently at random from the given contractions. Then the points defined by

$$
x_{k}=\varphi_{i_{k}}\left(x_{k-1}\right), \text { for } k=1,2 \ldots
$$

are indistinguishably close to $K$. Better results will be obtained by weighting the probabilities of choosing the $\varphi_{i}$. (See Figure 5.)


Figure 5: The Sierpinski gasket

## 3 Hausdorff measure and dimension

In this section we will introduce the notion of the Hausdorff measure and dimension, and we will show how to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of selfsimilar sets.

Let $(X, d)$ a metric space and $A \subset X$ be a bounded subset. Let

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}(A)=\inf \left\{\sum_{i \geq 1} \operatorname{diam}\left(E_{i}\right)^{s} \mid A \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_{i}, \operatorname{diam}\left(E_{i}\right) \leq \delta\right\}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\delta$-covers of $A$. Also, we define

$$
\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=\lim \sup _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}(A)
$$

$\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)$ is called the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\mathbf{A}$.
It is well-known that $\mathcal{H}^{s}$ is a complete Borel regular measure for any $s>0$.
Theorem 3.1 [2] Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. For any $A \subset X$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{s \mid \mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=\infty\right\}=\inf \left\{s \mid \mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=0\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: First we show, for $0 \leq s<t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{t}(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{t-s}(A) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $A \subseteq X$. For, let $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_{i}$ and $\operatorname{diam}\left(E_{i}\right) \leq \delta$ for any $i$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \geq 1} \operatorname{diam}\left(E_{i}\right)^{t} & \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \operatorname{diam}\left(E_{i}\right)^{t-s} \operatorname{diam}\left(E_{i}\right)^{s} \leq \\
& \leq \delta^{t-s} \sum_{i \geq 1} \operatorname{diam}\left(E_{i}\right)^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inequality (3.3), if $s<t$, then $\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)<\infty$ implies $\mathcal{H}^{t}(A)=0$ and also $\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=\infty$.

Using this theorem we can give the notion of Hausdorff dimension.
The quantity given by the equality (3.2) is called the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathbf{A}$, which is denoted by $\operatorname{dim}_{H}(A)$.

The Hausdorff measure and the Hausdorff dimension depend on the metric d.

Mandelbrot named fractal the set having noninteger Hausdorff dimension.
The first result concerning the Hausdorff dimension of selfsimilar fractal sets is essentially due to Moran. In [4] Moran's theorem and proof are presented inthe language of iterated function system. In order to obtain the formula of Hausdorff dimension of selfsimilar sets, one has to impose the open set condition.

Assume that $K$ is the invariant set of the IFS $\mathbf{S}=\left(\varphi_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m\right)$ where $\varphi_{i}$ are similitudes with contractivities $r_{i} \in[0,1), i=1, \ldots, m$. The IFS satisfies the open set condition if there exists a nonempty bounded open set $G \subset X$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(G) \subseteq G
$$

and $\varphi_{i}(G) \cap \varphi_{j}(G)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.
Theorem 3.2 [3] Suppose that $K$ is the invariant set of the $\operatorname{IFS}\left(X,\left(\varphi_{i} \mid i=\right.\right.$ $1, \ldots, m)$ ) and the open set condition is satisfied. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} K=D,
$$

where $D$ is the unique positive solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}^{D}=1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $K$ is the invariant set, we have

$$
K=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \varphi_{i}(K)
$$

This implies that

$$
K=\bigcup_{i(n) \in \Sigma_{n}} \varphi_{i(n)}(K)
$$

where $\Sigma=\{1, \ldots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma_{n}=\{1, \ldots, m\}^{\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ and $i(n)=\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}\right), i_{j} \in$ $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Since the composition of the similitudes $\varphi_{i(n)}$ is a similitude with contractivity $r_{i(n)}:=r_{i_{0}} \ldots r_{i_{n}}$, (3.4) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i(n) \in \Sigma_{n}}\left(\operatorname{diam} \varphi_{i(n)}(K)\right)^{D} & =\sum_{i(n) \in \Sigma_{n}}\left(r_{i(n)}\right)^{D}(\operatorname{diam} K)^{D}= \\
& =\left(\sum_{i_{1}} r_{i_{1}}^{D}\right) \ldots\left(\sum_{i_{n}} r_{i_{n}}^{D}\right)(\operatorname{diamK})^{D}=(\operatorname{diam} K)^{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now given any $\epsilon>0$, one can always find an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that

$$
\operatorname{diam} \varphi_{i(n)}(K)^{D} \leq\left(\max _{i} r_{i}\right)^{D} \leq \epsilon
$$

Thus

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\epsilon}^{D}(K) \leq(\operatorname{diam} K)^{D}
$$

and consequently

$$
\mathcal{H}^{D}(K) \leq(\operatorname{diam} K)^{D}
$$

To obtain a lower bound a measure $\nu$ on n-cylinders $Z_{i(n)}:=\{i \in \Sigma \mid i=i(n) j\}$ is introduced.

Define

$$
\nu Z_{i(n)}:=\left(r_{i(n)}\right)^{D}
$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$
\nu Z_{i(n)}=\sum_{i} \nu Z_{i(n) i}
$$

and therefore $\nu \Sigma=1$. This $\nu$ can be extended to a measure $\bar{\nu}$ on $K$.
Let G the nonempty bounded open set whose existence is guaranteed by the open set condition. The fact that every compact set converges to the attractor $K$ implies

$$
\bar{K} \supseteq \mathbf{S}(\bar{K}) \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathbf{S}^{n}(\bar{K}) \rightarrow K
$$

Therefore

$$
\varphi_{i(n)} \bar{G} \subseteq \varphi_{i(n)}(K)
$$

for all $i(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now let $B$ be a ball of radius $r<1$ intersecting $K$. Let $i \in \Sigma$ and let n be the first integer for which

$$
\left(\min _{i} r_{i}\right) r \leq r_{i(n)} \leq r
$$

Denote by $\Sigma^{*}$ the set of all such strings. For any $i \in \Sigma$ there exists exactly one integer n such that $i(n) \in \Sigma^{*}$. Since $\left\{\varphi_{1}(G), \ldots, \varphi_{m}(G)\right\}$ is disjoint, so is $\left\{\varphi_{i(n) 1}(G), \ldots, \varphi_{i(n) m}(G)\right\}$, for all $i(n) \in \Sigma_{n}$. Hence, the collection $\left\{\varphi_{i(n)}(G) \mid i(n) \in \Sigma^{*}\right\}$ is disjoint, and therefore

$$
K \subseteq \bigcup_{i(n) \in \Sigma^{*}} \varphi_{i(n)}(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i(n) \in \Sigma^{*}} \varphi_{i(n)}(\bar{G})
$$

Now choose two real numbers $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ such that $G$ contains a ball of radius $\rho_{1} r$ and is contained in a ball of radius $\rho_{2} r$. If $i(n) \in \Sigma^{*}$, the set $\varphi_{i(n)}(G)$ contains a ball of radius $r_{i(n)} \rho_{1}$ and thus one of radius $\left(\min _{i} r_{i}\right) \rho_{1} r$ and is contained in a ball of radius $r_{i(n)} \rho_{2}$ and hence in one of radius $\rho_{2} r$. Now denote $\Sigma^{* *}$ the set of all codes in $\Sigma^{*}$ for which $\varphi_{i(n)}(G) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Denote by m the number of sets $\varphi_{i}(\bar{G})$ that intersect $B$. The sum over the volumes of the interior balls yields

$$
m\left(\rho_{1} r\right)^{n} \leq\left(1+2 \rho_{2}\right)^{n} r^{n}
$$

Then there are at most $m=\left(1+2 \rho_{2}\right)^{n} \rho_{1}^{-1}\left(\min _{i} r_{i}\right)^{-n}$ codes in $\Sigma^{* *} .$.
Then

$$
\bar{\nu} B=\bar{\nu} B \cap K \leq \nu\left(\bigcup_{i(n) \in \Sigma^{* *}} Z_{i(n)}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nu} B & \leq \sum_{i(n) \in \Sigma^{* *}} \nu Z_{i(n)}=\sum_{i(n) \in \Sigma^{* *}} \nu r_{i(n)}^{D} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{i(n) \in \Sigma^{* *}} r^{D} \leq m r^{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

As any set $U$ is contained in a ball of radius $\operatorname{diam} U, \bar{\nu} U \leq m(\operatorname{diam} U)^{D}$. So $\mathcal{H}^{D}(K) \geq m^{-1}>0$, and thus $\operatorname{dim}_{H}(K)=D$.

The similarity dimension can be used to compute the Hausdorff dimension when the two coincide. For example the Cantor dust has similarity dimension $\log 2 / \log 3$, the Sierpinski gasket $\log 3 / \log 2$ and the Menger sponge $\log 20 / \log 3$.

## 4 Fractal measure

It is usually more convenient to work with measures rather than sets. For applications such as image compression it is convenient to consider grey-scales.

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete separable metric space.

A probabilistic iterated function system is a $2 m$-tuple

$$
\mathbf{S}:=\left(p_{1}, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}, \varphi_{m}\right), m \geq 1
$$

of positive real numbers $p_{i}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}=1$ and of Lipschitz maps $\varphi_{i}$ : $X \rightarrow X$. Let $r_{i}$ the Lipschitz constants of $\varphi_{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Denote $M=M(X)$ the set of finite mass Radon measures on $X$ with the weak topology. If $\mu \in M$, then the measure $\mathbf{S} \mu$ is defined by

$$
\mathbf{S} \mu=\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \varphi_{i} \mu
$$

where $\varphi_{i} \mu$ is the usual push forward measure, i.e.

$$
\varphi_{i} \mu(A)=\mu\left(\varphi_{i}^{-1}(A)\right), \text { for } A \subseteq X
$$

We say $\mu$ is an invariant measure if $\mathbf{S} \mu=\mu$.
If the contractions are similarities, then $\mu$ is called selfsimilar fractal measure. Let $M_{q}$ denote the set of unit mass Radon measures $\mu$ on $X$ with finite q-th moment. That is,

$$
M_{q}=\left\{\mu \in M \mid \mu(X)=1, \int_{X} d^{q}(x, a) d \mu(x)<\infty\right\}
$$

for some (and hence any) $a \in X$. Note that, if $p \geq q$ then $M_{p} \subset M_{q}$.
The $l_{q}$ minimal metric $l_{q}$ on $M_{q}$ is defined by

$$
l_{q}(\mu, \nu)=\inf \left\{\left.\left(\int_{X} d^{q}(x, y) d \gamma(x, y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q} \wedge 1} \right\rvert\, \pi_{1} \gamma=\mu, \pi_{2} \gamma=\nu\right\}
$$

where $\wedge$ denotes the minimum of the relevant numbers and $\pi_{i} \gamma$ denotes the i-th marginal of $\gamma$, i.e. projection of the measure $\gamma$ on $X \times X$ onto the i-th component.

The following theorem was proved in [4] in case $q=1$ and in general in [6].
Theorem 4.1 If $\mathbf{S}=\left(p_{1}, \varphi_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}, \varphi_{m}\right)$ is a probabilisitc IFS and

$$
\lambda_{q}:=\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} r_{i}^{q}<1
$$

for some $q>0$ then there is a unique invariant measure $\mu^{*} \in M_{q}$ of $\mathbf{S}$. Moreover, for any $\mu_{0} \in M_{q}$,

$$
l_{q}\left(\mathbf{S}^{k} \mu_{0}, \mu^{*}\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_{q}^{k\left(\frac{1}{q} \wedge 1\right)}}{1-\lambda_{q}^{\frac{1}{q} \wedge 1}} l_{q}\left(\mu_{0}, \mathbf{S} \mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Proof: We have $\mathbf{S}: M_{q} \rightarrow M_{q}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{q}^{q \vee 1}(\mathbf{S} \mu, \mathbf{S} \nu) & =l_{q}^{q \vee 1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \varphi_{i} \mu, \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \varphi_{i} \nu\right) \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} q_{q}^{q \vee 1}\left(\varphi_{i} \mu, \varphi_{i} \nu\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} r_{i}^{q} q_{q}^{q \vee 1}(\mu, \nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

from the properties of $l_{q}$. Hence $\mathbf{S}$ is a contraction map with contraction constant $\lambda_{q}^{\frac{1}{q} \wedge 1}$. This implies the theorem

Let

$$
M_{0}:=\cup_{q>0} M_{q}
$$

Since

$$
\left(\int_{X} \log d^{q}(x, a) d \mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow \exp \int_{X} \log d(x, a) d \mu(x)
$$

as $q \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$
M_{0}=\left\{\mu \in M \mid \mu(X)=1, \int_{X} \log d(x, a) d \mu(x)<\infty\right\}
$$

Since $\lambda_{q}^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}^{p_{i}}$ as $q \rightarrow 0$, it follows that if $\prod_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}^{p_{i}}<1$ (i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \log r_{i}<$ 0 ), then there is a unique measure $\mu^{*} \in M_{0}$ which satisfies $\mathbf{S}$. Moreover, for any $\mu_{0} \in M_{0}, \mathbf{S}^{k} \mu_{0} \rightarrow \mu^{*}$ in the weak sense of measures as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

It also follows that the $\mu^{*}$ in the theorem is unique in the $M_{0}$.
Since $\lambda_{q}^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow \max _{1 \leq i \leq N} r_{i}$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$, then the support of $\mu$ denoted by spt $\mu^{*}$ is compact and is the unique invariant compact set of the $\operatorname{IFS}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right)$. Moreover, if $s p t \mu_{0}$ is compact then $\operatorname{spt} \mathbf{S}^{k} \mu_{0} \rightarrow s p t \mu^{*}$ in the Hausdorff metric sense.

There is a random algorithm for constructing the invariant measure $\mu$. Let $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right)$ be a random sequence such that $i_{j}=i$ with probability $p_{i}$, independently for each $j$. Fixing $x \in \operatorname{spt} \mu$, we define for each Borel set $A$

$$
\mu_{x}(A)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{card}\left\{k^{\prime} \leq k \text { such that } \varphi_{i_{k^{\prime}}} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{i_{1}}(x) \in A\right\}
$$

Then for $\mu$-almost all $x$ we have $\mu_{x}(A)=\mu(A)$. Thus iterating $x$ under a random sequence of mappings with $S_{i}$ chosen with probability $p_{i}$, the proportion of iterates lying in a set $A$ approximates $\mu(A)$.

For example taking $p_{1}=p_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
\varphi_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{3} x \quad \varphi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{3} x+\frac{2}{3}
$$

gives the so called Cantor measure. The Figure 6 is an example based on the Menger sponge.


Figure 6: The probabilistic Menger sponge
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