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#### Abstract

For certain positive numbers $\mu$ and $\lambda$, we establish the multiplicity of solutions to the problem $$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+\lambda f(u) & \text { a.e. in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$ where $\Omega$ is a bounded open domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ containing the origin with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, while $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, superlinear at zero and sublinear at infinity.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the problem
$\left(1_{\mu, \lambda}\right) \quad \begin{cases}-\triangle u=\mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+g(\lambda, u) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}$
where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded open domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $0 \in \Omega, g:(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $0 \leq \mu<\bar{\mu}=(N-2)^{2} / 4$.

Problem $\left(1_{0, \lambda}\right)$ has been extensively studied during the last few years (see [7, 8, 10 and references therein), where $g(\lambda, s)=\lambda f(s), f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being a continuous function provided with certain growth properties at zero and infinity, respectively.

When $\mu \neq 0$, problem $\left(1_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$ becomes more delicate due to the presence of the singular potential. Several papers were devoted in order to handle this problem (see [5, 6, 9, 13). For instance, Ferrero and Gazzola [5], and Ruiz and Willem [13], considered $g(\lambda, s)=|s|^{2^{*}-2} s+\lambda s$, establishing for certain values of $\mu$ and $\lambda$ the existence of one nontrivial positive solution for $\left(1_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$. In [9], Montefusco considered $g(\lambda, s)=|s|^{q-2} s$ with either $q \in(1,2)$, or $q \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$, guaranteeing in both cases a nontrivial solution for $\left(1_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$ whenever $\mu \in(0, \bar{\mu})$ is arbitrarily fixed. Faraci and Livrea 4] exploited Montefusco's result, establishing certain bifurcation theorems which involve the $p$-Laplacian and its corresponding singular term. Very recently, Chen [2, 3] characterized the exact growth order near the origin of the positive solutions of $\left(1_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$ in the case when $g(\lambda, s)=s_{+}^{2^{*}-1}+\lambda s_{+}^{q}(0<q<1)$. By means of this construction, Chen was able to obtain multiple solutions of $\left(1_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$ for certain values of $\lambda>0$ whenever $0 \leq \mu<\bar{\mu}-1(N \geq 5)$.

[^0]The purpose of this paper is to obtain multiple solutions for the problem

$$
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mu, \lambda}\right) \quad \begin{cases}-\triangle u=\mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+\lambda f(u) & \text { a.e. in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

(which is nothing but $\left(1_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$ with $g(\lambda, s)=\lambda f(s)$ ) when $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is superlinear at zero, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{s}=0 \tag{f1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sublinear at infinity, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s}=0 \tag{f2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$, we finally assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}} F(s)>0 \tag{f3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result is
Theorem 1. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which satisfies (f1), (f2) and (f3). Then for every $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$ there exist an open interval $\Lambda_{\mu} \subset(0,+\infty)$ and a real number $\sigma_{\mu}>0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mu} \operatorname{problem}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$ has at least two distinct, nontrivial weak solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ whose $H_{0}^{1}$-norms are less than $\sigma_{\mu}$.

We emphasize that hypotheses (f3) cannot be omitted. Indeed, if for instance $f \equiv 0$, then (f1) and (f2) clearly hold, but problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mu, \lambda}$ ) has only the trivial solution.

Theorem 1 will be proved by means of a recent three critical point result of Bonanno [1] which is actually a refinement of a general principle of Ricceri [11, 12. Furthermore, in Section 3 we will give additional information as far as the localization of the interval $\Lambda_{\mu}$ is concerned (see Remark (2).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ containing the origin with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. The space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ will be endowed by the standard inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}}=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x, \quad u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

and by its corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{0}^{1}}$. The norm of the dual of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{H^{-1}}$. We recall Hardy's inequality which states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2}(x)}{|x|^{2}} d x \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}}\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}, \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\mu}=(N-2)^{2} / 4$ (see [6]).
The usual norm on $L^{p}(\Omega)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}}$. The Sobolev embedding constant of the compact embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega), p \in\left[1,2^{*}\right)$, will be denoted by $c_{p}>0$, i.e. $\|u\|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{p}\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}$, for every $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$. We introduce the energy functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}(u)=\Phi_{\mu}(u)-\lambda J(u), \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

where
$\Phi_{\mu}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2}(x)}{|x|^{2}} d x \quad$ and $\quad J(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(u(x)) d x, \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
As long as (f2) is verified, a standard argument shows that $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class $C^{1}$ and its critical points are exactly the weak solutions of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$.

Therefore, it is enough to show the existence of multiple critical points of $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}$ : $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the parameters $\mu$ and $\lambda$ specified in Theorem 1 . This fact will be carried out by means of the following recent critical point result.

Theorem 2 (1, Theorem 2.1]). Let $X$ be a separable and reflexive real Banach space, and let $\Phi, J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals. Assume that there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)=J\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$ and that there exists $x_{1} \in X, \rho>0$ such that
(i) $\rho<\Phi\left(x_{1}\right)$;
(ii) $\sup _{\Phi(x)<\rho} J(x)<\rho \frac{J\left(x_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(x_{1}\right)}$.

Further, put

$$
\bar{a}=\frac{\zeta \rho}{\rho \frac{J\left(x_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(x_{1}\right)}-\sup _{\Phi(x)<\rho} J(x)},
$$

with $\zeta>1$, assume that the functional $\Phi-\lambda J$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, and
(iii) $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty}(\Phi(x)-\lambda J(x))=+\infty$, for every $\lambda \in[0, \bar{a}]$.

Then there is an open interval $\Lambda \subseteq[0, \bar{a}]$ and a number $\sigma>0$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the equation $\Phi^{\prime}(x)-\lambda J^{\prime}(x)=0$ admits at least three solutions in $X$ having norm less than $\sigma$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Through this section, we suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. In order to conclude the proof, we apply Theorem 2 by choosing $X=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as well as $\Phi=\Phi_{\mu}$ and $J$ as in (2). Due to (1) we have at once that $\Phi_{\mu}(u) \geq 0$ for every $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$ and $u \in X$.

Lemma 1. For every $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu}]$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Due to (f2), there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(s)| \leq c(1+|s|), s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the sequentially weak continuity of $J$ is achieved in a standard way, by means of the compact embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, using the concentration-compactness principle, Montefusco proved in [9, Theorem 3.2] the sequentially weakly lower semicontinuity of $\Phi_{\mu}$ for every $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu}]$.

Lemma 2. For every $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}$ is coercive and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Proof. Let us fix $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrarily. By (f2), there exists $\delta=\delta(\mu, \lambda)>$ 0 such that for every $|s|>\delta$ one has

$$
|f(s)|<\frac{1}{2}(1-\mu / \bar{\mu}) c_{2}^{-2}(1+|\lambda|)^{-1}|s| .
$$

After integration, we obtain

$$
|F(s)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu / \bar{\mu}) c_{2}^{-2}(1+|\lambda|)^{-1}|s|^{2}+\max _{|t| \leq \delta}|f(t)||s| \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Thus, for every $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu / \bar{\mu})\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-|\lambda| \int_{\Omega}|F(u(x))| d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu / \bar{\mu})(1+|\lambda|)^{-1}\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-c_{1}|\lambda| \max _{|t| \leq \delta}|f(t)|\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \rightarrow+\infty$ we conclude that $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as well, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}$ is coercive.
Now, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{H^{-1}} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}$ is coercive, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Up to a subsequence, we may suppose that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ for some $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\mu / \bar{\mu})\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} \leq & \left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right)^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \\
= & \mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)+\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}^{\prime}(u)\left(u-u_{n}\right) \\
& +\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left[f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-f(u(x))\right]\left(u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear the first two terms from the last expression tend to 0 , while by means of (3) one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-f(u(x)) \| u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right| d x \\
\leq c\left[2(\operatorname{meas} \Omega)^{1 / 2}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}\right]\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we proved that $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \rightarrow 0$.
Lemma 3. For every $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$,

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\sup \left\{J(u): \Phi_{\mu}(u)<\rho\right\}}{\rho}=0
$$

Proof. Fix $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$. Due to (f1), for an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
|f(s)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}(1-\mu / \bar{\mu}) c_{2}^{-2}|s| \quad \text { for all }|s|<\delta
$$

For a fixed $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$, combining (3) with the above inequality, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(s)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(1-\mu / \bar{\mu}) c_{2}^{-2}|s|^{2}+c(1+\delta) \delta^{1-p}|s|^{p} \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\rho>0$ define the sets

$$
S_{\rho}^{1}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): \Phi_{\mu}(u)<\rho\right\} ; \quad S_{\rho}^{2}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):(1-\mu / \bar{\mu})\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}<2 \rho\right\}
$$

Thanks to (11), $S_{\rho}^{1} \subseteq S_{\rho}^{2}$. Moreover, by using (4), for every $u \in S_{\rho}^{2}$ we have

$$
J(u) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \rho+c(1+\delta) \delta^{1-p} c_{p}^{p} 2^{p / 2}(1-\mu / \bar{\mu})^{-p / 2} \rho^{p / 2} \equiv \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \rho+c^{\prime} \rho^{p / 2}
$$

Thus there exists $\rho(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for every $0<\rho<\rho(\varepsilon)$

$$
0 \leq \frac{\sup _{u \in S_{\rho}^{1}} J(u)}{\rho} \leq \frac{\sup _{u \in S_{\rho}^{2}} J(u)}{\rho} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+c^{\prime} \rho^{\frac{p-2}{2}}<\varepsilon
$$

which completes the proof.
Let $s_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F\left(s_{0}\right)>0$; see (f3). Also choose $R_{0}>0$ in such a way that $R_{0}<\operatorname{dist}(0, \partial \Omega)$. For $\sigma \in(0,1)$ define

$$
u_{\sigma}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0, & \text { if } & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{N}\left(0, R_{0}\right) \\
s_{0}, & \text { if } & x \in B_{N}\left(0, \sigma R_{0}\right), \\
\frac{s_{0}}{R_{0}(1-\sigma)}\left(R_{0}-|x|\right), & \text { if } & x \in B_{N}\left(0, R_{0}\right) \backslash B_{N}\left(0, \sigma R_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $B_{N}(0, r)$ denotes the $N$-dimensional open ball with center 0 and radius $r>0$. It is clear that $u_{\sigma} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Moreover, denoting by $\omega_{N}$ the volume of the $N$ dimensional unit ball, one has

$$
\left\|u_{\sigma}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}=s_{0}^{2}(1-\sigma)^{-2}\left(1-\sigma^{N}\right) \omega_{N} R_{0}^{N-2}
$$

and

$$
J\left(u_{\sigma}\right) \geq\left[F\left(s_{0}\right) \sigma^{N}-\max _{|t| \leq\left|s_{0}\right|}|F(t)|\left(1-\sigma^{N}\right)\right] \omega_{N} R_{0}^{N}
$$

For $\sigma$ close enough to 1 , the right-hand side of the last inequality becomes strictly positive; choose such a number, say $\sigma_{0}$.

Proof of Theorem 11 completed. Fix $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$. Due to Lemma 3, we may choose $\rho_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \rho_{0}<(1-\mu / \bar{\mu})\left\|u_{\sigma_{0}}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} \\
\frac{\sup \left\{J(u): \Phi_{\mu}(u)<\rho_{0}\right\}}{\rho_{0}}<\frac{2 ;\left[F\left(s_{0}\right) \sigma_{0}^{N}-\max _{|t| \leq\left|s_{0}\right|}|F(t)|\left(1-\sigma_{0}^{N}\right)\right] \omega_{N} R_{0}^{N}}{\left\|u_{\sigma_{0}}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By choosing $x_{1}=u_{\sigma_{0}}$, hypotheses (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2 are verified. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}=\bar{a}_{\mu}=\frac{1+\rho_{0}}{\frac{J\left(u_{\sigma_{0}}\right)}{\Phi_{\mu}\left(u_{\sigma_{0}}\right)}-\frac{\sup \left\{J(u): \Phi_{\mu}(u)<\rho_{0}\right\}}{\rho_{0}}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Lemmas 1 and 2, and putting $x_{0}=0$, all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are verified. Thus there exist an open interval $\Lambda_{\mu} \subset\left[0, \bar{a}_{\mu}\right]$ and a number $\sigma_{\mu}>0$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mu}$, the equation $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \lambda}^{\prime}(u) \equiv \Phi_{\mu}^{\prime}(u)-\lambda J^{\prime}(u)=0$ admits at least three solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ having $H_{0}^{1}$-norm less than $\sigma_{\mu}$. Since one of them may be the trivial one $(f(0)=0$, see (f1)), we still have at least two distinct, nontrivial solutions of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$ with the required properties.

Remark 1. Since $f(0)=0$, one can consider the continuous function $s \mapsto f\left(s_{+}\right)$ instead of $f$, obtaining nonpositive solutions of the problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mu, \lambda}\right)$. Here, $s_{+}=$ $\max \{s, 0\}$. Moreover, if $f$ is locally Lipschitz, the solutions belong to $C^{2}(\Omega \backslash\{0\})$.

Remark 2. It is important to know explicit estimations of the intervals $\Lambda_{\mu}, \mu \in$ $[0, \bar{\mu})$, guaranteed by Theorem 1 In order to give such an estimation, let us fix $s_{0}$, $R_{0}$, and $\sigma_{0}$ as in Section 3 Let $\mu \in[0, \bar{\mu})$. Based on Lemma 3, one can assume that $\rho_{0}<1$ and

$$
\frac{\sup \left\{J(u): \Phi_{\mu}(u)<\rho_{0}\right\}}{\rho_{0}}<\frac{J\left(u_{\sigma_{0}}\right)}{2 \Phi_{\mu}\left(u_{\sigma_{0}}\right)}
$$

Thus, according to (5), one has $\bar{a}_{\mu}<\frac{4 \Phi_{\mu}\left(u_{\sigma_{0}}\right)}{J\left(u_{\sigma_{0}}\right)}$. In conclusion, we have

$$
\Lambda_{\mu} \subset\left[0,2\left(1-\frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right)\left(\frac{s_{0}}{R_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(1-\sigma_{0}^{N}\right)}{F\left(s_{0}\right) \sigma_{0}^{N}-\max _{|t| \leq\left|s_{0}\right|}|F(t)|\left(1-\sigma_{0}^{N}\right)}\right]
$$
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